

CASE STUDY

Optimizing Salesforce Storage:

Discover how Comtrade 360 streamlined data management for enhanced efficiency and cost savings

Author: **Marko Balant**, Lead Support Engineer

At a glance:

In the fast-paced world of business, effective storage management in customer relationship management systems like Salesforce is critical. Facing the challenge of excessive storage utilization due to large volumes of data, including email attachments and records, businesses need efficient and cost-effective solutions. This case study showcases our expertise in navigating and resolving complex Salesforce storage issues.

By implementing a strategic combination of native Salesforce tools, custom methods, and third-party solutions, we significantly reduced storage costs while enhancing data accessibility and security. Our approach not only optimized storage use but also provided substantial financial savings, demonstrating our commitment to delivering tailored solutions that align with our clients' operational needs and budget constraints.

About

As a Salesforce (Administration) service provider, we understand that customers who are using Salesforce for their business may sometimes face storage issues. The default Salesforce storage size is determined by the license edition a user has, covering various types of data such as records, attachments, files, emails, and more, depending on the objects and features used within the organization. Some organizations will require extra storage for their business, which will bring extra costs, especially for the Salesforce data storage mostly used by organizations which is also more expensive than Salesforce file storage. For this reason, the organization might think about choosing a different license from the start, which would include **'Unlimited'** storage.

Storage Optimization Efforts

Many organizations without an **'Unlimited'** Salesforce license that includes unlimited storage are trying to optimize Salesforce storage usage through various methods, such as implementing retention policies, exporting data to external storage, or by not storing certain types of information to free up additional space. However, these actions may lead to challenges when someone needs to access missing, exported or potentially deleted data.

In our work, we encountered some of these problems, and we will describe the steps and actions that we performed to resolve the storage issue.

Problem

As mentioned, we were faced with a challenge of reducing Salesforce storage usage on customer side. In our case, we were utilizing **827.8 GB** of the available **44.9 GB** SF Data storage and **2 TB** of the available **1.5 TB** SF File Storage.

Storage Usage			
Storage Usage	101///1/20150-5//2 ⁹ ///01//		
our organization's storage usage is listed below.			
Storage Type	Limit	Used	
Data Storage	44.9 GB	827.8 GB	
File Storage	1.5 TB	2.0 TB	
Big Object Storage	1,000,000	0	
Current Data Storage Usage			
Record Type		Record Count	Storag
Email Messages		17,658,482	449.5 G
Tasks		30,616,561	58.4 G
Cases		18,782,738	35.8 G
Contacts		12,212,826	23.3 G
CaseRoutingRequests		11,305,277	21.6 G
Accounts		11,086,743	21.1 G
Sender History Comments		10,629,191	20.3 G
Opportunities		9,758,815	18.6 G
Interaction Event Notes		6,504,892	12.4 G
Interaction Events		6,504,892	12.4 G
QA_AI		5,266,596	10.0 G
Analytics		5,116,327	9.8 G
Agent Work		4,845,913	9.2 G
Cases (Historical)		8,487,684	8.1 G
AC Contact Trace Records		3,298,693	6.3 G
AC Agent Performance		2,900,366	5.5 G
Agent Work Skills		2,221,787	4.2 G
User Presences		2,197,262	4.2 G
QA_AI_Feedback		2,128,212	4.1 G
Error Logs		2,045,534	3.9 G

Industry

CRM and Salesforce Solutions

Technology

Salesforce Salesforce App Apex SOQL We faced significant storage over-usage and we were forced to find the best way to resolve the problem.

Actions:

Initially, we attempted to identify the root cause of the excessive data load/usage. We began our investigation by examining the Salesforce data storage, where we identified the problem. Further investigations revealed that Email Messages (on Cases) and their Content Documents (email attachments such as pictures, files, and documents) were the primary contributors to the significant storage utilization in Salesforce. Email messages were utilizing more than half of Salesforce Data Storage (449 GB),

Record Type	Record Count	Storage	Percent
Email Messages	17,658,482	449.5 GB	54%

Content documents were also occupying a large portion (**1.2 TB)** of Salesforce File Storage.

Current File Storage Usage			
Record Type	Record Count	Storage	Perce
Opportunities	0.704.325	39 KB	0
Knowledge	675	44.5 MB	0
Suggestions	72	377 KB	0
Attachments	1,117,684	392.0 GB	25
Documents	44	420 KB	0
Content Bodies	5.355.001	1.1 78	75
LogSearchResult	18	10.8 MB	0
Photos	4,639	102.8 MB	0

Steps to reduction

After addressing the issue, the next goal was to find ways to reduce Salesforce storage data usage. Our initial approach was to explore methods or tools that are either free or not too expensive.

1. Archive Email to case App

The first step to minimize Salesforce (SF) Data Storage involved the use of the Archive Email to Case Salesforce App. This app allowed us to reduce Salesforce data storage space (approximately 150 GB) by archiving old emails (older than 2 years) from the production org that were no longer needed. These archived emails were moved in the SF File storage part which is cheaper in comparison with Data storage part.

This app helped us to release some extra SF storage, but it supports only Salesforce (Case) Emails archiving, while other objects data were also consuming Salesforce storage.

Archive Email-To-	Case					
election Criteria Select the cases selection criteria robive slutton to archive cases those meets the ca- estore slutton to restore archived cases Those mee- fedule archive bolluton to stroke archived cases (in a si robive temains/ense use this option only for cases lick here to restore a single case small	se selection criteria. ets the case selection criteria . ve job . tuation org received new emails on archived .	C854).	a.			
					Archive Restore Schedule Archive Job R	e-Archive Archive Emai
Selection Criteria						
1000			Status			
Туре	None	~	Status	None	~	
Type Case Reason	None	•	Case Origin	None	•	
						Q
Case Reason	None	•	Case Origin	None	*	Q
Case Reason Case Created older than	None	~	Case Origin Case Owner	None User	• •	Q

2. Custom method for removing 'Duplicate attachments'

After further investigation, we detect that multiple Email messages contain duplicate files for each email thread in SF. This means that for each email interaction duplicate files were created and stored in Salesforce.

ти	tle	√ Owner	✓ Last Modified ↓	✓ Size	✓ Source	
	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Balant	30/08/2022 07:34	3.1MB	Re: Sandbox: Case Number 18616781. [ref_000R02JuCY_S00R0EeSbmiref]	
2	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Balant	30/08/2022 07:31	3.1MB	Case Number 18616781. [ref.,00DR022uKY.,500R0EeSbmiref]	
5	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Balant	30/08/2022 07:31	3.11/18	Re: Sandbox: Case Number 18516781. [ref_00DR02JuKY_S00R0EeSbm:ref]	
2	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Balant	30/08/2022 07:25	3.1MB	Case Number 18616781. [ref_00DR02JuKY_500R0EeSbmiref]	
2	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Salant	50/08/2022 07:25	3.1MB	Re: Sandbox: Case Number 18516781. [ref_000R022uKY_500R05eSbm.ref]	
2	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Balant	09/08/2022 07:05	3.1MB	Case Number 18616781. [ref_00DR02JuKY_S00R05eSbmref]	
2	IMG_20150402_114201	Marko Balant	09/08/2022 07:05	3.1MB	18616781	

This was actually a Salesforce issue for which they did not have a solution when we discovered it. Our choice was to write a custom Apex (trigger) method for removing Duplicate files (Content bodies) from the Cases. With this custom method we were able to remove around 200GB of duplicate content bodies (pictures, files, documents). Sometime later, Salesforce announced and released a similar 'Email-to-case' feature called 'Eliminate duplicate email attachments' used to remove duplicate attachments from cases.

3. 3rd party provider(s)

Despite the reduction we achieved, however, this wasn't sufficient to keep the additional Salesforce storage costs sustainable. There was still a huge amount of data that we wanted to remove from Salesforce without keeping it in the storage, and at the same time we wanted that data to remain searchable and accessible through Salesforce.

Unfortunately, Salesforce did not have any solution or tools which could help us with storage reduction the way we required it. At this point, we began exploring other possible solutions and searched for providers who were offering archiving solutions.

We got in contact with a company which offers backup/restore and archiving features, which was most important for us. After introduction and a few sessions, we were able to test the product features in Sandbox instance. We configured a few archiving policies for different records such as Opportunities, Accounts, Cases, which also included archiving of all related items like Emails, tasks, content documents, and others. After archiving, the accessibly check of Archived data through SF was confirmed and we were able so search and access all archived information and also restore data.

	Object Field		
Search for a Salesfurce is, specific object or enter free test	All v Select Field	• Q 1157 Q	
	Dute From Date:	To Date:	
	Greated Date V 01-Dec-2007	m 05-lan-2024 m	
pe 1 out of 2246 - about 56135 results			Show more resu
ack to search result			
Case			et Export 🕹 Unerd
etall Related Related Legal Hold Requests			
Case Comments (3)			
Case Comment ID	✓ Created Date	V Last Modified Date	~
1 00x3200000KDW2HQAH	10/04/2019 01:10	10/04/2019 01:10	
1 00x3200000kDW2kQAH			
2 00x220000x10x2x0AH 2 00x220000x10x4x0AP	10/04/2019 01:10	10/04/2019 01:10	
Case Feed (25)	10/04/2019 01:10 10/04/2019 06:32	10/04/2019 01:10 10/04/2019 06:33	
Obj220000404/2004 Obj220000404/404 Obj220000404/404	10/04/2019 01:10 10/04/2019 06:32	10/04/2019 01:10 10/04/2019 06:33	*
1 0042000004/4044 2 004200004/4048 2 004200004/4048 Case Feed (23) feed frem ID	10.04.0019.01.10 11.04.0019.06.03 10.04.0019.06.16	1956/2014/19 1956/2014/88 1956/2014/88 1956/2014/84	
Coalt2000000000000000 Coalt20000000000000 Coalt20000000000000 Coalt20000000000000 Coalt200000000000000 Coalt20000000000000000 Coalt2000000000000000000000000000000000000	10.02019 0 10 10.02019 4933 10.02019 4931 10.02019 5819 2010	vsacovstve n vsacovstve vsati vsacovstve v vsacovstve v	~
102/000/0002002 06220000-000464 06220000-00464 Case Feed (23) Feed train (b) 10020000-00464 0020000-00464	ಸಂದ್ರಭಾತ ನಿರ ಸಂದ್ರಭಾತ ಸರ ಸಂದ್ರಭಾತ ನಗತ ರಾಜಕರ ಶಿಚಾ ಸಂದ್ರಭಾತ ಕರ್ತ	4 504,009 41 90 4 504,009 588 4 504,009 588 1 504,009 589 1 504,009 589	
1000000000000000 1000000000000		100420191110 10042019110 100420191010 100420191010 100420191010 100420191010 100420191010 100420191010 100420191000	
	তেওঁৱেল্ড খন ব তেওঁৱেল্ড থান্ন তেওঁৱেল্ড থান তেওঁৱেল্ড থান তেওঁৱেল্ড থান তেওঁৱেল্ড থান তেওঁৱেল্ড থান তেওঁৱেল্ড থান	Statute 10 Statute 10	*
		4 50,000 410 50,000 488 50,000 488 50,000 487 50,000 487 50,000 487 50,000 487 50,000 487 50,000 487	

After successful test scenarios we configured archiving policies in the production Instance. Based on our org license configuration and API calls availability (800k per day) we were able to execute many policies at the same time, with huge amount of records. Each day, we were able to archive around 4-5 million records (3-5GB).

7 items • Sorted by Active • Filtered by All policies - Soft deleted • Updated a few seconds ago							Q. Search this list					
	Policy Name 🗸	SObjectName	~	Query 🗸		Fr ~	Sc ∨	Sc 🗸	A. ↓ ∨	Record Ty \checkmark	0 \	/
	Cloned policy - Archive Case- Closed/Resolved -D	Case		SELECT Id FROM Case WHERE (Status = 'Closed'		Day		00:00:00	1	Archiving Poli		
	Archive Email Messages	EmailMessage		SELECT Id FROM EmailMessage WHERE CreatedD		Day		18:00:00	1	Archiving Poli	~	V
	Archive Task	Task		SELECT Id FROM Task where CreatedDate < LAST		Day		20:00:00	1	Archiving Poli		V
1	Archive Case- Closed/Resolved	Case		SELECT Id FROM Case WHERE (Status = 'Closed'		Day		00:00:00	1	Archiving Poli	~	V
	Archive Case routing requests	NVMContactWorld_CaseRoutingRequest_c		select id from NVMContactWorld_CaseRoutingR		Day		22:00:00	 Image: A start of the start of	Archiving Poli		
5	Archive Interaction Events	NVMContactWorld_InteractionEvent_c		SELECT Id from NVMContactWorld_InteractionEv		Week	Mond	02:00:00	~	Archiving Poli		-
	Archive Sender History Comments	Sender_History_Commentc		SELECT Id FROM Sender_History_Commentc wh		Day		00:00:00	~	Archiving Poli		-
3	Archive Opportunities	Opportunity		SELECT Id FROM Opportunity where stageName		Day		02:00:00	 Image: A start of the start of	Archiving Poli		V
2	Archive amazonconnect_AC_ContactChannels_c	amazonconnect_AC_ContactChannelsc		SELECT Id FROM amazonconnect_AC_ContactCh		Day		01:00:00	1	Archiving Poli		V
10	Archive amazonconnect_AC_AgentPerformance_c	amazonconnect_AC_AgentPerformance_c		SELECT Id FROM amazonconnect_AC_AgentPerf		Day		03:00:00	¥	Archiving Poli		V
1	Archive AC Contact Trace Records Policy	amazonconnectAC_ContactTraceRecordc		SELECT Id FROM amazonconnectAC_ContactTra		Day		04:00:00	 Image: A start of the start of	Archiving Poli		V
2	Inactive Person Accounts	Account		SELECT ID FROM Account where Send_Country		Day		22:00:00	1	Archiving Poli	~	
13	Archive Error Logs	Error_Log_c		SELECT Id FROM Error_Log_c where LastModifie		Day		05:00:00		Archiving Poli		

The provider also offered an important feature – the full backup and restore of Salesforce data and metadata. Prior to archiving, we ensured the security of entire Salesforce org by creating a full backup in case anything went wrong during the archiving process.

Results

In a couple of months, the Salesforce storage was reduced to 171GB (of 827.8 GB) for Data and 274GB (of 2 TB) for file storage. The archiving process is still ongoing and storage will be released under 70GB ort even more, based on current Archiving policy setting.

Storage Type	Limit	Used	Percent Used
Data Storage	44.9 GB	171.4 GB	382%
File Storage	1.7 TB	274.0 GB	16%
Big Object Storage	1,000,000	0	0%

In comparison, the third-party provider required around 10 times less in financial resources than the additional cost for Salesforce data storage for one year, and it also offered full backup/restore and other useful features. To put numbers into perspective, the cost savings were approximately half a million dollars annually just for the Salesforce storage. The cost of the third-party provider was \$50.000 annually, calculated based on the number of active Salesforce users (650).

Conclusion

In addressing the diverse needs of organizations with respect to Salesforce user license types, storage needs, and other features, it's essential to consider the scale of operations and specific business requirements. This was exemplified in our recent engagement where Comtrade 360 successfully navigated a client's data storage challenges. For organizations like this client, with a significant number of active Salesforce users interacting daily through emails with end-customers, leveraging a third-party provider proved to be the most effective strategy.



By partnering with a carefully selected third-party provider, Comtrade 360 was able to offer the client additional storage, archiving, and backup options that were not only cost-efficient but also enhanced their operational capabilities. This approach is particularly beneficial for reducing storage costs and ensuring robust data backup, a solution that can be advantageous for both large and smaller organizations.



In such intricate scenarios, the expertise of a Salesforce expert or service provider like Comtrade 360 is invaluable. Our team specializes in assessing our clients' unique needs and implementing tailored solutions. In this case, we demonstrated how third-party solutions could offer a more feature-rich and cost-effective alternative to native Salesforce tools, which might not always align with the client's requirements or budget. Comtrade 360's ability to adapt and deliver customized solutions in this manner is a testament to our commitment to solving complex challenges and enhancing our clients' Salesforce experience.



info@comtrade360.com +1 617-546-7400 **comtrade360.com**